

THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

TWENTY-SIXTH DAY

Monday, 3rd April 1961.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

[Mr. SPEAKER (Sri S. R. KANTHI, B. A., LL. B.) in the Chair.]

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given).

FINAL REPORT OF THE NAGAN GOWDA COMMITTEE.

*Q.—369. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Nagan Gowda Committee has submitted its final report and if not the reasons for the delay ;

(b) whether the Committee will consider the recent views of the High Court in the formation of their Report ?

A.—Sri N. RACHAIAH (Minister for Agriculture and Social Welfare).—

(a) No.

The delay is due to the fact that the Committee had to tour all the districts in the State for collecting evidence from the representatives of associations, organisations and leaders of public opinion. The Committee had also to collect necessary statistical information from the concerned departments of Government. This process took considerable time. As the work entrusted to the Committee was of a complicated nature, the Committee had to devote much attention and time for evolving the criteria for the classification of some sections of the people of the State as socially and educationally backward. The Committee has almost finished its work and the final report is expected to be received by Government during this month.

(b) Yes.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is the Government aware of certain observations made by the High Court ?

†Sri N. RACHAIAH.—Yes.

†Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Has the Government given any direction to the Committee regarding the observation made by the High Court ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—The Committee has been examining this aspect and after the receipt of the Report, the Government will also examine and take a decision.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Has it come to the notice of Government that in view of the long delay in submitting this Report, the fundamental rights of citizens have been affected ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—In the written answer itself we have stated that it is a complicated matter and particularly after the recent High Court judgment was given, we wanted the Committee to take note of this and also make suitable recommendations to rectify all such irregularities.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಮೂಕಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಬರುವದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಪ ಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—The answer is dated 29-3-1961. As per the answer the report must have been in the hands of the Government already?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—The Committee is expected to submit its report during this month.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—But the answer is dated 29-3-1961 and it is stated there that the report is expected to be received by Government during that month.

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—In modification of that answer, I am now disclosing this information.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—You say something about the collection of necessary statistical information, etc. Does this collection of statistics relate to population of different communities ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—We are collecting as per the terms of reference.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I want to know whether the statistics issued in the first report is going to be challenged.

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—They are going to submit a final report. There they may submit detailed information and comprehensive and exhaustive information with regard to the criteria to be decided.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—On the basis of the interim Report submitted by Nagan Gowda Committee, the Government is aware that two different sets of forms are applied with regard to concessions to backward class community by the Central Government and State Government. In the Mysore State, the Government is proceeding on the basis of Nagan Gowda Committee Report, but in the case of scholarships and other concessions granted by the Government of India, different set of principles is being observed. Has that come to the notice of the Government ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—So far as the scholarships that are being awarded by the Social Welfare Ministry is concerned, we are following the same procedure, that is, we have adopted the recommendations of the Nagan Gowda Committee.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is the Government aware that more than 200 students are affected on account of the non-application of the interim report of the Nagangowda Committee? There should be some uniformity in the application of the Government Order and the Nagan Gowda Committee Report. What has happened is that more than 200 students are affected by different sets of consideration being applied in the award of scholarship—(1) Nagangowda Committee report and another Mysore Government order.

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—With regard to the Government of India Scholarships, they are being awarded through the Social Welfare Ministry. Actually the decision taken on the recommendations of the Nagan Gowda Committee Report has been implemented.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In view of the opinion expressed by the High Court, shall we take it for granted that the Government contemplate to change the whole thing to determine the backwardness of each community?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—Unless we receive the report, we cannot decide Sir.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Just now the Minister stated that the Central Scholarships are distributed according to the Central Government Order. Is it not a fact that the Central Scholarships have been decided on the recommendations of the Nagan Gowda Committee Report and the backward scholarships in Mysore State have been granted even for the Muslims, Lingayats and other people in the colleges?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—I am not aware of it because that pertains to the Education Ministry.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Is it not a fact that the Education Minister has issued a circular that the Central Scholarship based upon the principle of backward class scholarship rules should be given and that the Minister for Social Welfare has instructed the colleges that orders of the Education Minister should not be carried out? Is it a fact or not?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—I do not know, Sir.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Is it not a fact that the Government is passing orders on the Nagan Gowda Committee Report without placing the report before this House? Or will you place the Report on the table of the House?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—It is only when the Report is received, the Government will consider that question.

Sri SHARAN GOWDA.—Will the government tell us the recommendations of the Committee and how many communities have been recommended as backward class in the State ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—I have already answered that the report is not received.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that Government has sent an intimation to the Director of Public Instructions that there need not be any award of scholarship on the basis of Nagan-gowda Committee Report and what is the instruction sent to the University will you kindly tell us ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—I am not aware of any instructions, Sir.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is it true that the Director of Public Instruction has been informed that so far as the award of scholarship to students studying in educational institutions are concerned, no action need be taken on the Nagangowda Committee Report ?

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—If a separate question is asked, I will answers Sir.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that some criteria for the clasification of some section of the people as socially and educationally backward was found out before the interim report was submitted and if so, is there any change in that criteria ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—That is one of the factors on which the Committee has come to the conclusion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಜನತೆಯ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂದೇಹ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗಿರುವ ತೊಂದರೆಯನ್ನು ನಿವಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲು ನಾಗನಗಾಡ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ದೊಳಗೆ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ತಿಂಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri V.P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is the Government aware of the considerable amount that is going to lapse which is legitimately due to these backward classes on account of the delay that is caused by the non-submission of the report ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—How can the amount lapse, Sir, because this is the beginning of the year-this is the first month ? During the Third Five Year Plan, we will see that the entire amount is spent.

Sri N. PUTTANNA.—It is definitely learnt that the Minister for Social Welfare has issued certain instructions for the distribution of Scholarship and the Minister for the Education has also issued some instruction. One is contradicting the other. Will you please place both the orders on the table of the House ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—So far as Social Welfare Ministry is concerned, I have already answered.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—I want both the orders to be placed on the Table of the House.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—How can he answer for the Education Minister ?

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—The Minister for Public Works has no right to reply. I am demanding as a matter of right that both the Ministers should place their orders on the Table of the House.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—If you put a separate question, I will give the whole information on the table of the House.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—I am putting a definite question. Whether have issued a circular instruction ?

(No answer).

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—I want the two Ministers to tell us whether it has come to their notice that on account of the conflicting instructions issued by Government by two different Ministries, more than 200 students have suffered. Let them say whether it has come to their notice or not.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—There is no conflict whatsoever. If a student gets Mysore Government scholarship, we do not give him the Central Scholarship. We have no different rules. How does it conflict?

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—That is not my intention. Some students get the benefit of the interim report of the Nagangowda Committee. In other words, some students get it. That is my point. I want the Hon'ble Minister to tell us whether such a thing has happened.

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—I have already given my answer.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗೂರ್.—ನಾಗನಗೂಡ ಕಮಿಷನರ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಇಂತಿಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇಕೆಂದು ಏನಾದರೂ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತೇ ? ಹಾಗೆ ಬಂದರೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಮುಂದೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಿರ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾನ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—ಅಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಕಳೆದ ತಿಂಗಳು ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಮೇಂಟ್. ಬರೆದಿದೆ ಅದರ implications ಏನು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿದೆ ಈ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವರದಿ ಸಿದ್ಧ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಇದೆ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that direction was given to the University of Mysore to award scholarship on the basis of the Nagangowda Committee Report and as per the direction, the University did award some scholarship on the basis of Nagangowda Committee Report. And later is it not a fact that the Government has sent another direction that the award need not be made on the Nagangowda Committee Report, with the result so many backward class students who have been awarded scholarship have been put to much hardship ?

Sri N. RACHAIAH.—If a separate question is put, I will answer.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶರಣಗೌಡ.—ನಾಗನಗೂಡ ಕಮಿಷನರ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕೊಡಲು ತಡವಾದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮೇಂಟ್ interim report ನ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಜಾತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಬೇಗ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ತರಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—Final report ಬರುವವರೆಗೂ ಇಂಥವರಿಗೇ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ROADS CONSTRUCTED UNDER RURAL COMMUNICATION PROGRAMME IN GULBARGA DISTRICT.

*Q.—414. Sri K. P. GADAG [on behalf of Sri MOHAMED ALI (Gulbarga)].—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

the total amount spent and the total mileage of road constructed under the Rural Communication Programme in Gulbarga District during the year 1960 (Taluk-wise figures may be given) ?

A.—Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Deputy Minister for Public Works)

The total amount spent and the total mileage constructed under Rural Communication Programme during 1960–61 (Upto the end of January 1961) in Gulbarga District is as follows.—

Name of Taluk		Length of road constructed	Total amount spent
		M.F.	Rs.
1.	Gulbarga ...	15-0	49,279
2.	Alland ...	17-0	43,315
3.	Afzalpur ...	4-0	9,676
4.	Chitapur ...	9-0	17,725
5.	Chincholi ...	15-0	1,13,347
6.	Yadgir ...	14-4	34,526
7.	Shahapur ...	9-1	46,018
8.	Shorapur ...	5-0	33,438
9.	Jewargi ...	3-4	19,503
10.	Sedam ...	4-6	11,198
Total ...		96-7	3,78,025

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know whether the rural communication roads which are sanctioned are still to be constructed or still pending.

†Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Under Rural Communication programme, the work continues.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗೂರ್.—ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು ನಡೆದಿದೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ನಿಂತು ಹೋಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎನ್. ನಾಗನೂರ್.—ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀವೋ ಅವು ಮುಂದುವರಿದಿವೆ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.— Regarding the construction of 91.7 miles in Gulbarga District, may I know, to whom these works are entrusted for maintenance?